UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAYLA REZY	VAN, Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 15-cv-04767-HSG
	v. CTRONICS NORTH ORPORATION, Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	el report that they have met and ulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 1	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:		
(Note: Parties appreciably m ADR phone con ADR Phone Con Private	ore likely to meet their needs to onference and may not file this onference. See Civil Local Rule Process:	E) (ADR L.R. 5) Tement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
X	Private ADR (please identify under discussion	process and provider) private mediation; provider
The parties ag	<u> </u>	v: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
X	other requested deadline Jul management conference)	y 15, 2016 (180 days from Rule 16 case
Dated: December 17, 2015		LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES J. WISCH

Charles J. Wisch Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 4:15-cv-04767-HSG Document 20 Filed 12/21/15 Page 2 of 2

Dated: December 18, 2015

McGUIREWOODS LLP

Sean M. Sullivan

Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

- The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- □ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

pwood S July J.
ED STATES JUDGE

Dated: 12/21/2015

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."